



# **Optimization of Sustainable Concrete Mixes: Analysis of an Industrial Database**

Presented by Züleyha Kanpara Cıvaş (cnzkc@leeds.ac.uk) PhD Student University of Leeds

Supervised by Associate Professor Emilio Garcia-Taengua Professor Leon Black







#### **Table of Contents**

- 1. Model Explanation
- 1.1. Database and method
- 1.2. Effective main factors and interactions
- 2. Model Interpretation
- 2.1. GGBS effects
- 2.2. Type of coarse aggregate effects
- 2.3. Water-reducers effects





• We can do data analysis to relate the strength to mix design.  $\rightarrow$  What database?

Industrial database

- We can use machine learning(ML) methods to predict strength.
- We can also use ML models to optimise the strength.

Multiple Linear Regression with interaction terms and variable transformations

• Strength is affected by many factors. → What factors?

t, w/b, plasticizer content and type, coarse aggregate content and type, Dmax, C/F

• Those factors have also interactions effects on the strength. → What interactions?

CA content with Dmax and type; water-to-binder ratio with time, Dmax and CA type; Plasticizer content with water-to-binder ratio and time











## - Consistent and big dataset

Standard routine industrial testing provides big dataset of concrete performance.

Dataset is consistent as trial mixes were performed in the laboratory well-controlled environment.

We have used approximately 3000 mixes for our model.

# - Complexity, accuracy and interpretability trade-off

With a well-specified multiple linear regression model, high accuracy and interpretability do not have to be a trade-off.

Our model has achieved 90% of R<sup>2</sup> and it has a regression equation to estimate the strength of concrete and optimise the concrete mix design.





# - Model specification

# Determination of main factors and interaction effects based on the knowledge.

Exploring how incorporation of those factors into model change the model accuracy.

Selecting the key factors that most significantly influence strength, while emphasizing model accuracy and aligning with the fundamental principles of concrete technology





#### - Effective main factors









However, if we do not apply some variable transformations we cannot achieve a model with high accuracy.

As we need to catch the nonlinearity of between some variables and the strength.



# - Descriptive statistics of the dataset

Numeric Variables	Coarse Aggregate	Coarse-to-Fine Aggregate	Dmax	Cement-to- Water	GGBS-to- Water	Plasticizer	Super plasticizer
median	1030	1.23	20	1.2	0.7	1.91	1.99
5 <sup>th</sup> percentile	808	0.85	10	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.05
95 <sup>th</sup> percentile	1175	1.70	20	2.50	1.50	4.1	5.54
Unit	kg/m3	unitless	mm	unitless	unitless	kg/m3	kg/m3

Categorical Variables					
Coarse Aggregate Rock Type	Water-reducers				
Crushed	Plasticizer				
(67%)	(23%)				
Uncrushed	Superplasticizer				
(33%)	(77%)				





**UNIVERSITY OF LEED** 



28-day Strength in MPa

The model reproduces the latently hydraulic feature of Ground-Granulated Blast Furnace Slag(GGBS).









- The model demonstrates that concrete with crushed coarse aggregates gains higher strength than that with uncrushed aggregates.
- The strength difference of concrete with coarse aggregate being uncrushed and crushed is approximately 5-10%.



BUILDING TRUST





At the early stages of strength development;

- increasing water-reducer agent dosage does not affect strength development for both superplasticizers and plasticizers, **but** 

for later ages;

- increasing superplasticizer dosage increases the strength.
- Increasing plasticizers dosage increases the strength up to 0.5% of plasticizer dosage.







# ~ THANKS FOR LISTENING ~

Züleyha Kanpara Cıvaş (cnzkc@leeds.ac.uk) PhD Student University of Leeds

