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## Background

## Hooked Bar Lap Splices

- Used to reduce required splice lengths in precast concrete construction
- Presently no code guidance;
 design of splices is speculative
- Research aims to develop design recommendations for hooked splices



## Applications of Hooked Bar Lap Splices



Beam-to-Beam


Deck-to-Deck


Precast Moment Frame (Parastesh et al. 2014)

(a) Beam-to-beam connection

Precast Moment Frame (ACl 318 2019)

## Experimental Tests form Basis for Design Recommendations



## Review of Testing Program

- 64 specimens in test matrix examining the influence of: splice length, concrete strength, bar size, splice spacing, cover, hook shape, number of layers of hooks, beam depth, casting position, transverse reinforcement, bar bundles, and steel fibers


## Resistance Mechanism of Hooked Bar Lap Splices



## Database for Developing Design Equation for Hooked Bar Lap Splices

Question: Can existing hooked bar development length equations be used to design hooked bar lap splices?

- Consider 54 of 64 test specimens
- Exclude atypical configurations (e.g., fiberreinforced concrete)

Summary of Parameters in Hooked Bar Lap Splice Database

| Parameter | Range |
| :---: | :--- |
| Lap Length $I_{S}$ | $7.83-35.75 \mathrm{in}$. |
| Bar Diameter $d_{b}$ | $0.75-1.41 \mathrm{in}$. |
| Splice Spacing $s_{l}$ | $0.75-8.11 \mathrm{in}$. |
| Minimum of Side and | $1.42-3.26 \mathrm{in}$. |
| Top Cover $c_{\text {min }}$ | $3.26-5.86 \mathrm{ksi}$ |
| Concrete Compressive <br> Strength $f_{C m}$ | $38.9-81.0 \mathrm{ksi}$ |
| Splice Strength $f_{S}$ |  |

## Development Length Equations Considered

## Development Length Equations Examined as Basis for Hooked Bar Lap Splice Equation

| Reference | Development Length Equation | Recast Equation for Stress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACI 318-19 | $\prime_{d h}=\frac{f_{y} \psi_{e} \psi_{r} \psi_{o} \psi_{c}}{55 \lambda \sqrt{f_{c} m}} d_{b}^{1.5}$ | $f_{s, A C I}=\frac{55 \lambda \sqrt{f_{c m}} / s}{\psi_{e} \psi_{r} \psi_{o} \psi_{c} d_{b}{ }^{1.5}}$ |
| AASHTO $9^{\text {th }}$ Ed. | $I_{d h}=\frac{f_{y} \lambda_{c w} \lambda_{r c}}{50 \lambda \sqrt{f_{c m}}} d_{b}$ | $f_{s, \text { AASHTO9 }}=\frac{50 \lambda \sqrt{f_{c T}} /_{s}}{\lambda_{C W} \lambda_{r c} d_{b}}$ |
| AASHTO $10^{\text {th }}$ Ed. | $I_{d h}=0.17 d_{b} \lambda_{r 1} \lambda_{c} \lambda^{\wedge} r c\left(\frac{f_{y}-\frac{F_{h}}{A_{b}}}{350 \lambda f_{c m}^{0.25}}\right)^{2}$ | $f_{s, \text { AASHTO10 }}=\frac{F_{h}}{A_{b}}+350 \lambda f_{c m} 0.25 \sqrt{\frac{I_{s}}{0.17 \lambda_{r 1} \lambda_{c} \lambda_{r c c} d_{b}}}$ |

## Evaluation Approach: Test-to-Calculated Stresses

Can divide experimental stresses $f_{s}$ by calculated stresses using any of the design equations (e.g., $f_{s, A \text { ASHTOя }}$ ) to calculate the test-to-calculated stress ratio (e.g., $\left.f_{s} / f_{s, A A S H T O 9)}\right)$

- Perfect agreement: $f_{s} / f_{s, \text { AASHTOя }}=1.0$
- Conservative: $f_{s} / f_{s, \text { AASHTOG }}>1.0$
- Unconservative: $f_{s} / f_{s, \text { AASHTOg }}<1.0$
- Ideally, minimize COV of $f_{s} / f_{s, \text { AASHTOя }}$


Sample Plot of Test-to-Calculated Stress Ratios (Slope of 0 is Ideal)

Mean $=1.87$
$\mathrm{COV}=0.20$ ACI 318-19

Mean $=1.24$
$\mathrm{COV}=0.23$
AASHTO ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition



Mean $=1.26$
$\mathrm{COV}=0.13$ AASHTO $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition



## Design Equation for Required Splice Length of Hooked Bars

Regression...

$$
I_{s}=\left(\frac{f_{y}^{1.5} s_{s} 0.05}{8,000 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{c_{\min }}{d_{b}}+K_{t r}\right)^{0.33}}\right) d_{b}^{1.5}
$$

$I_{s}$ : lap length of hooked bars
$f_{y}$ : yield strength of reinforcing bars
$s_{/}$: inter-splice bar spacing
$d_{b}$ : diameter of reinforcing bar
$f_{c}$ ': specified concrete compressive strength
$c_{\text {min }}$ : minimum clear cover
$K_{t r}$ : index of transverse reinforcement

## Design Equation for Required Splice Length of Hooked Bars



11111111
$c_{\text {min }}=$ minimum of


Section through Splice

$$
I_{s}=\left(\frac{f_{y}^{1.5} s_{l} 0.05}{8,000 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{c_{\min }}{d_{b}}+K_{t r}\right)^{0.33}}\right) d_{b}^{1.5}\left[\begin{array}{l}
{[\mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{in} .]}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$I_{s}$ : lap length of hooked bars;
$f_{y}$ : yield strength of reinforcing bars
$s_{j}$ : inter-splice bar spacing
$d_{b}$ : diameter of reinforcing bar
$f_{C}^{\prime}$ : specified concrete compressive strength
$c_{\text {min }}$ : minimum clear cover
$K_{t r}$ : index of transverse reinforcement

## Transverse Reinforcement, $K_{t r}$

$$
K_{t r}=6.5 N A_{t r 1}
$$

$N$ : number of legs of transverse reinforcement within one outer bend diameter from the top of the hook;
$A_{t r 1}$ : area of one leg of transverse reinforcement

Example
$N=4$ (4 ties within lap length)

$$
K_{t r}=6.5(4) A_{t r 1}=26 A_{t r 1}
$$

Hook
Diameter


Side View

Top View

## Design Example: Precast Bent Cap

Determine Required Splice Length
of Hooked bars

- Similar to Hampton Roads

Bridge Tunnel Expansion Project

- Design parameters
* Cap Width, $b=72$ in.
* Required steel, $A_{s, r e q}=15 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
* Cover, $c_{\text {min }}=2$ in.
* Concrete strength, $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}=6 \mathrm{ksi}$
* Normalweight concrete


Closure Joint Containing Hooked Splices Connecting Precast Pieces

## Preliminary Considerations

Use 10 pairs $\left(N_{b s}=10\right)$ of spliced No. 11 ( $d_{b}=1.41 \mathrm{in}$.) hooks in primary layer of reinforcement

$$
s_{l}=\frac{\mathrm{b}-2 c_{\min }}{2 N_{b s} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=\frac{72-2(2 \mathrm{in} .)}{2(10)-1}=3.58 \mathrm{in} .
$$

Size five ties spaced at $3 d_{b}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta=\tan ^{-1} \frac{3 d_{b}}{s_{l}}=50^{\circ} \\
T=A_{b} f_{y}=\left(1.56 \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)(60 \mathrm{ksi})=94 \mathrm{kips} \\
T_{\text {tie }}=\frac{0.25 T}{\tan \theta}=20 \mathrm{kips} \\
\mathrm{~A}_{\text {tie }} \geq \frac{T_{\text {tie }}}{\phi \mathrm{tf}_{\mathrm{yt}}}=\frac{20 \mathrm{kips}}{0.9(60 \mathrm{ksi})}=0.37 \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Required Hooked Bar Splice Length

Use two-bar bundles of No. 4 bars as tie reinforcement

$$
K_{t r}=6.5 N A_{t r 1}=7.5(5)\left(0.4 \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)=13
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad \frac{c_{\min }}{d_{b}}+K_{t r}=\frac{2}{1.41}+13=14.4 ; \text { Limited to } 8.0 \text { for splice length equation } \\
I_{S}=\left(\frac{f_{y}^{1.5} s_{l}^{0.05}}{8,000 \sqrt{f_{C^{\prime}}}\left(\frac{c_{m i n}}{d_{b}}+K_{t r}\right)^{0.33}}\right) d_{b}^{1.5} ; I_{S}=\left(\frac{60,0001.53 .580 .05}{8,000 \sqrt{6,000}(8)} 0.33\right.
\end{gathered} 1.41^{1.5} .
$$

## What if the Design Used Only Straight Bars?

Use the same bond and anchorage parameters to calculate the required lap length per Article 5.10.8.4.3 of AASHTO LRFD (2020)

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{S}=1.3 \times 2.4 d_{b} \frac{f_{y}}{\sqrt{f_{c^{\prime}}}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{r l} \lambda_{c f} \lambda_{r c} \lambda_{e r}}{\lambda}\right) \\
I_{S}=1.3 \times 2.4(1.41) \frac{60,000}{\sqrt{6,000}}\left(\frac{1.3 \times 1 \times 0.4 \times 1}{1}\right)=56.0 \mathrm{in} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Design Summary:

- The required straight bar splice length is 56.0 in., ~ 35 in . longer than that required for the hooked splices


## Conclusions

1. Noncontact hooked bar lap splices transfer force through diagonal compression struts and tension ties transverse to the lapped bars
2. The ACl 318-19, AASHTO LRFD (9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ed.), and AASHTO LRFD ( $10^{\text {th }}$ ed.) development length equations were found to be ineffective to design hooked bar lap splices
3. Based on test results from 54 beam specimens, a design equation for the minimum required lap length of hooked bars was developed with a COV of test-to-calculated stresses of $7 \%$

## Are there any Questions at this Time?
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## The Following are Extra Slides to Address Questions



## Approach to Developing New Equation for Hooked Bar Lap Splices

All three of the hooked bar development length equations were deficient in some manner and could be improved

* Will develop an empirical equation for the strength of hooked bar lap splices using the same database and multivariable power regression

Select powers $\left(p_{1}\right)$ to minimize sum of squared differences between experimental and predicted splice strength:

$$
f_{s}{ }^{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{p}_{1} /{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{p}_{2 f_{c m}} \mathrm{p}_{3 d_{b}} \mathrm{p}_{4 s} \mathrm{p}_{5}\left(\frac{\text { Cover }+K_{t r}}{d_{b}}\right)^{\mathrm{p}_{6}}
$$

* Transverse reinforcement term, $K_{t r}$, also determined using regression


## Descriptive Equation for Strength of Hooked Bar Lap Splices



## Performance of Descriptive Equation

Question: How well does the equation characterize strength?

* Mean test-to-calculated stress ratio $=1.00 ; C O V=0.06$




## Design Equation for Splice Strength

Need to convert descriptive equation to design equation through simplification and use of safety factor

- Simplification: replace cover term with minimum cover, $c_{\text {min }}$
- Safety factor: could use $\Phi=0.90$; use 0.75 to ensure all bars yield.

Needed because edge bars carry less force


## Design Equation for Splice Strength

Includes Phi Factor

$$
f_{s} p^{p}=\frac{400 s_{s}^{0.67} f_{c m}^{0.33}\left(\frac{c_{\min }}{d_{b}}+K_{t r}\right)^{0.22}}{s_{l} 0.033 d_{b}^{1.00}}
$$

Mean $=1.33 ; C O V=0.07 ; 0 \%$ predictions $<$ experimental strengths

Rearrange to solve for splice length as a function of splice strength.

$$
I_{s}=\left(\frac{f_{y}^{1.5} s_{l} 0.05}{8,000 \sqrt{f_{c^{\prime}}}\left(\frac{c_{\min }}{d_{b}}+K_{t r}\right)^{0.33}}\right) d_{b}^{1.5}
$$

## Perpendicular Ties Should not be Within $1 d_{b}$ from Splice Ends

Range of Possible Tie Locations


Use Parametric Study in Nonlinear FE Analysis to Investigate

## Transverse Reinforcement, $K_{t r}$

$$
K_{t r}=6.5 N A_{t r 1}
$$

$N$ : number of legs of transverse
reinforcement within one outer bend diameter from the top of the hook;
$A_{t r_{1}}$ : area of one leg of transverse reinforcement


## Parallel Confining Reinforcement

Within one outer bend diameter from top
Example
$N=6$ (3 ties within hook diameter, 2 legs per tie)

$$
K_{t r}=6.5(6) A_{t r 1}=39 A_{t r 1}
$$



Top
View

## Parallel Ties Should not be Outside Outer Bend Diameter



Tie Number

$f_{\text {tie }}=$ average stress in legs of tie transverse to splices $f_{\text {tie, } 1}=$ value of $f_{\text {tie }}$ in Tie Number 1 (near top of hook)

## Transverse Reinforcement, $K_{t r}$

$$
K_{t r}=6.5 N A_{t r 1}
$$

$N$ : number of legs of transverse
reinforcement within one outer bend
diameter from the top of the hook;
$A_{t r_{1}}$ : area of one leg of transverse
reinforcement

## Transverse (Lacer) Bars

Example
$N=2$ (Legs confining the edge hooks)

$$
K_{t r}=6.5(2) A_{t r 1}=13 A_{t r 1}
$$



